Analysis of the educational potential of a biology exhibit

Do the learning outcomes of visitors correspond to the intentions of the exhibit designers?

> Marianne Mortensen Department of Science Education University of Copenhagen

Summary

- **Objective:** To analyse the educational potential of an exhibit at a science centre
- **Research Question:** Do the learning outcomes of visitors correspond to the intentions of the exhibit designers?
- Method: Compare the observed learning outcomes to the intended learning outcomes
 - How to measure the learning outcomes of visitors?
 - How to compare observed and intended learning outcomes?
- **Conclusion:** The visitors interact with the exhibit in the intended way, but do not acquire the intended knowledge

Case: Cave Expedition

(Experimentarium, Copenhagen)





Exhibit type or genre

An *immersion* exhibit

- Immerses the visitor within a three-dimensional environment
- The visitor is relocated to a different time and place

In this case

- The visitor becomes the cave beetle and enters its habitat
- Learning goal: to enable the visitot to *experience* how the cave beetle is adapted to its enviornment

Text panel 1





Text panel 1

At home in the dark

Living in caves where it is permanently dark, the blind cave beetle has developed senses other than sight. It has much longer legs and antennae than related species which live above ground, increasing the area available for receptors for smell, touch, and taste, which enable it to find its way around – as well as to choose its next meal.



Beetles with different degrees of adaptation to life underground. Left: Beetles that live on the ground. Right: Beetles that live underground.

Text panel 2



The exhibit Cave Expedition Text panel 2

Cave Expedition

Wait for the light to turn green and go into the cave.

Enter the darkness.

Feel the walls, find the animals, smell the odours.

When you are outside, identify your findings.

The interior



The exhibit *Cave Expedition* The interior





The exhibit *Cave Expedition* Text panel 3



The exhibit Cave Expedition Text panel 3

Check your conclusions

What are the three animals you have touched?

What scent have you smelled?

Check by pushing the buttons.

The visitor and the exhibit Defining the learning goal

- The objective of the exhibit is to "enable the visitor to experience how the blind cave beetle is adapted to its environment of permanently dark caves"
- *Experience*: "to learn by directly perceiving a phenomenon or event"



What is experience?

Reflections about the interaction

The interaction

The phenomenon



Measuring visitors' experiences

Reflections about interaction

Interaction with characteristics

Exhibit's characteristics



Praxeology (Chevallard, 1999)



Palaeontology Lab, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, 2008

What is the praxeology in this exhibit?



Task (what challenge does the exhibit give the visitor?)

- to put together the bones of an Iguanodon front foot

Technology (how should the visitor interpret this activity?)

- bones make up the limbs and appendages of animals; the Iguanodon is extinct so the fossilised bones are the only remains; the activity is thus like that of an paleontologist

Technique (how can the visitor do this?)

- by recognising the outline of the foot on the table and matching the bones to the pattern

Praxeology as analytical tool



Constructing the intended praxeology



Task 1: Panel 1

At home in the dark

Living in caves where it is permanently dark, the blind cave beetle has developed senses other than sight. It has much longer legs and antennae than related species which live above ground, increasing the area available for receptors for smell, touch, and taste, which enable it to find its way around - as well as to choose its next meal.



Beetles with different degrees of adaptation to life underground. Left: Beetles that live on the ground. Right: Beetles that live underground.

The *intended* praxeology

What the exhibit designers want the visitors to do and think

Intended technology	Deduce that certain adaptations facilitate life underground
<i>Intended</i> technique	Discern the variation in beetle characteristics in the illustration on panel 1
Exhibit tasks	Perceive that beetles differ systematically from each other as a result of different environments

Task 2: Panel 2

Cave Expedition

Wait for the light to turn green and go into the cave.

Enter the darkness.

Feel the walls, find the animals, smell the odours.

When you are outside, identify your findings.

The *intended* praxeology

What the exhibit designers want the visitors to do and think

Intended technology	Deduce that certain adaptations facilitate life underground And interpret the exhibit experience in terms of beetle behaviour			
<i>Intended</i> technique	Discern the variation in beetle characteristics in the illustration on Panel 1	Identify instructions on Panel 2 as regarding cave beetle behaviour		
Exhibit tasks	Perceive that beetles differ systematically from each other as a result of different environments	Perceive visitor role as cave beetle in its cave habitat		

Task 3: Representation of a cave habitat



The *intended* praxeology

What the exhibit designers want the visitors to do and think

Technologie visée	Deduce that certain adaptations facilitate life underground And interpret the exhibit experience in terms of beetle behaviour And interpret interactions as those of cave beetle in its environment			
Technique visée	Discern the variation in beetle characteristics in the illustration on Panel 1	Identify instructions on Panel 2 as regarding cave beetle behaviour	Perceive the characteristics of the exhibit as cave-like	
Tâches d'exposition	Perceive that beetles differ systematically as a result of different environments	Perceive visitor role as cave beetle in its cave habitat	Perceive exhibit as a representation of a cave	

Intended praxeology What the exhibit designers <u>want</u> the visitors to do and think

> *Intended* reflections

Intended interaction

Exhibit's characteristics

Observed praxeology What the visitors <u>actually</u> do and think

Actual reflections

Actual interaction

Exhibit's characteristics

The observed praxeology What the visitors actually do and think



The interactions between visitors and exhibit (*techniques*) may or may not be directly observable

The reflections of the visitors (*technologies*) are not directly observable

The observed praxeology Method

	Observation	'Think aloud'	Interview
Visitor technology		\checkmark	\checkmark
Visitor techniques	\checkmark	\checkmark	

The *observed* praxeology

Results

Visitor Techniques



Visitor Technology

This experience just shows you other senses [than vision] that you can rely on when you are in a different situation.





In sum...

- By using the notion of praxeology as a tool for exhibit analysis, I was able to
 - Observe a divergence between intended and actual learning outcomes
 - Pinpoint at which level this divergence occurred
- The implications of this finding for exhibit design are described in my paper!