Manejo e conservação do solo, 2011 (2° semestre)

Impactos da erosão do solo

Imediatos: concentração da enxurrada (voçorocas)

Degradação do solo: sulcos e laminar

Ambientais: deposição de sedimentos

A erosão do solo: **Formas**

Impacto da gota → Laminar → Muito seletivo

Enxurrada → Dispersa (não recorrente) → Sulcos

Enxurrada → Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas efêmeras

Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas permanentes


47°46'44"
22°37'13"
47°42'31"
22°37'13"
Laminar e sulcos
27 ton ha-1 ano-1
< -300 y
-150
0
200
N
500 750 1000 meters
Voçorocas
3,5 ton ha-1 ano-1
22°40'38"
47°42'31"
47°46'44"

03/02/2007

03/02/2007

Área com erosão = 2,98 ha (0,76% da área plantada)



Impactos da erosão do solo

Imediatos: concentração da enxurrada

Degradação do solo: sulcos e laminar

Ambientais: deposição de sedimentos

A erosão do solo: **Formas**

Impacto da gota → Laminar → Muito seletivo

Enxurrada → Dispersa (não recorrente) → Sulcos

Enxurrada → Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas efêmeras

Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas permanentes


20 m
0.45 m
Controle
ontrol
2 - NPK
NPK+ OM
10 m
0
0
10
20
30
40
Soil removal depth, cm

Erosão simulada vs. produtividade do milho (89/90)



JAN/9


Treatment
OM & NPK
NPK
Control
Average relative yield, %
100
80
60
40
20
0
M1
W1
S1
M2
LU
S2
M3
W2
S3
Crop


Voçorocas

Produtividade de *Crotalaria juncea* vs espessura solo

	Produtividade, Mg (MS) / ha	Espessura do solo, cm
	> 11,3	> 80
	9,3-11,3	60 - 80
	7,1-9,3	40 - 60
	6,0 - 7,1	20 - 40
	< 6,0	< 20





Produtividade da Crotalária vs espessura do solo




Present Time
0 %
25
50
75
100
N
0 250 500 750 1000 meters


Present Time


+ 100 years


+ 200 years


+ 300 years


+ 400 years


+ 500 years


+ 600 years


+ 700 years


+ 800 years


+ 900 years

+ 1000 years




Present Time
+ 100 y
+ 200 y
Relative yield
0
25
50
75
100 %
+ 500 y
+ 1,000 y


Adição
Perda
Adubação
N P K
Precipitações Atmosféricas
Colheita
Volatilização
Restos vegetais
Erosão
Ciclagem
Fixação
Intemperismo
Lixiviação



Erosão Mg/ha a	P kg/ha	N kg/ha
5	0,4	10
15	1,2	31
25	2,0	52



Erosão Mg/ha a	P kg/ha	N kg/ha
5	0,2	10
15	0,6	31
25	1,0	52

		Familiar		não Familiar	
		95/96	06	95/96	06
Agricultores	*# 10^3*	4.291	4.367	568	807
Área	*10^6 ha*	110	80	244	250
Área/agricultor	*ha*	26	18	429	309
Valor da Produção	*R$ 10^9*	18	54	29	89
Produtividade	*R$ ha^{-1}*	168	677	121	358
Censo Agropecuário IBGE 95/96 e 06					







Agricultura Familiar

Agricultura Industrial

Impactos da erosão do solo

Imediatos: concentração da enxurrada

Degradação do solo: sulcos e laminar

Ambientais: deposição de sedimentos

A erosão do solo: **Formas**

Impacto da gota → Laminar → Muito seletivo

Enxurrada → Dispersa (não recorrente) → Sulcos

Enxurrada → Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas efêmeras

Concentrada (recorrente) → Voçorocas permanentes


47°46'40"
22°37'1"
47°42'40"
22°37'1"
22°39'50"
47°46'40"
22°39'50"
47°42'40"
0
1000
2000 m


47°42'31"
22°37'13"
< -150 Mg/ ha y
-100
-50
0
50
100
> 150
0 250 500 750 1000 meters
22°40'38"
47°46'44"
22°40'38"
47°42'31"

Table 1. Organochlorine compound concentrations in soils, colluviums, sediments, and organisms from the Ceveiro watershed (Brazil).

Sample	Type	Depth	Lindane	Heptachlor-epoxide cis	Heptachlor-epoxide trans
		m	μg kg⁻¹		
1	soil	0–0.2	4.0	<1	<1
	worm†	0–0.2	<2	2.0	<2
2	soil	0–0.2	<1	3.0	<1
	worm	0–0.2	<3	25.0	<3
3	soil	0–0.2	4.0	<1	<1
	worm	0–0.2	<3	<3	<3
4	soil	0–0.2	1.0	<1	<1
	worm	0–0.2	2.0	<2	<2
	larvae‡	0–0.2	23.0	41.0	3.0
5	soil	0–0.2	1.0	<1	<1
6	soil	0–0.2	1.0	<1	<1
7	soil	0–0.2	1.5	<1	<1
8	soil	0–0.2	2.8	<1	<1
9	soil	0–0.2	<1	<1	<1
10	soil	0–0.2	<1	1.7	<1
	$\bar{x}$ soil§		1.7a		
11	colluvium¶	0–0.2	4.0	<1	<1
	worm	0–0.2	<3	<3	<3
12	colluvium	0–0.2	<1	<1	<1
	worm	0–0.2	<3	<3	<3
13	colluvium	0–0.2	<1	1.0	<1
	worm	0–0.2	<3	<3	<3
14	colluvium	0–0.2	2.0	<1	<1
15	colluvium	0–0.2	1.4	<1	<1
16	colluvium	0–0.2	3.0	<1	<1
17	colluvium	0–0.2	3.0	<1	<1
18	colluvium	0–0.2	<1	<1	<1
19	colluvium	0–0.2	<1	<1	<1
20	colluvium	0–0.2	<1	<1	<1
	$\bar{x}$ colluvium		1.6a		
21	sediment#	0–0.1	2.0	1.0	<1
22	sediment	0–0.05	3.5	<1	<1
23	sediment	0.05–0.1	2.4	<1	<1
24	sediment	0.1–0.2	6.3	<1	<1
25	sediment	0.2–0.3	3.6	<1	<1
26	sediment	0.3–0.5	1.7	<1	<1
	$\bar{x}$ sediment		3.3b		

† Geophagous earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Müller, 1857) expressed in dry matter (lyophilized).
‡ Bug larvae *Migdolus fryanus* (Westwood, 1863) expressed in dry matter (lyophilized).
§ Mean values calculated considering the measured rates or half the concentration of the detection limit. Different characters after mean values indicate significant (* or $p < 0.05$) differences according to Duncan test.
¶ Recently deposited sediments located at the floodplain positions along the main stream.
# Submerged sediments deposited in a water reservoir at the watershed outlet position.

Bio-acumulação
Enriquecimento


No-till in RS (DENARDIN et al., 2001)
Area of No-till (1,000xha)
4500
4000
3500
3000
2500
2000
0
1974 1977 1980 1983 1986 1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004
Year


Sediment yield, ton ha-1 y-1
2
1,5
1
0,5
0
77-79
80-82
83-85
86-88
89-91
92-94
95-97
98-00
04-06
Pasture
Pasture & Crop
Crop
Logaritmo (pasto)
Logaritmo (misto)
Logaritmo (agricultu


W 49°30'1"
S 27°4'58"
100
200 km
Soil Loss Ratio (2006/1985)
0.2
1
1.8
Water
Urban areas
High Agriculture (60-80% Agr)
Intermediate (30-55% Agr)
Low Agriculture (0-25% Agr)
S 33°45'20"
W 57°38'49"
S 33°45'20"
W 49°30'1"